UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/583,189          | 04/13/2007                  | Shuushi Kitamura     | 1717586             | 6539             |
| 24240<br>CHAPMAN AN | 7590 08/17/200<br>ND CUTLER | 9                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 111 WEST MO         | NROE STREET                 |                      | WOOD, ELLEN S       |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60603   |                             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                             |                      | 1794                |                  |
|                     |                             |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                             |                      | 08/17/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |       |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence   | 10/583,189  | KITAMURA, SHUUSHI   |       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |       |  |  |  |
|  | ELLEN S. WOOD   | 1794  |       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | łress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|  | -<br>action is non-final.   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction represents the second representation and the correction represents the second representation is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CF                        | , ,   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | nte   |       |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/583,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4 and 9-10, drawn to a biodegradable foam.

Group II, claim(s) 5 and 11-14, drawn to a process for producing a biodegradable foam.

Group III, claim(s) 6-7 and 15-20, drawn to a biodegradable molding.

Group IV, claim(s) 8, drawn to a process for producing a biodegradable molding.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The "special technical feature" of Groups I, II, III and IV is the biodegradable foam that is claimed in claim 1. The biodegradable foamed claimed has been previously discovered in Japanese Publication 2001-203835, hereinafter Okuyama et al. Okyuama et al. disclose a starch substance, vegetable particulate matter and aliphatic polyester (biodegradable thermoplastic resin). The vegetable particulate is preferably chaff powder. Foaming is carried out at an expansion ratio of 15 times or less. The expression "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which makes over the prior art. The "special technical feature" of the applicant's claimed biodegradable foam has been previously disclosed, thus the "special technical feature" does not define a contribution that makes over the prior art. Thus, the groups lack a special technical feature.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Robert J. Schneider on August 10<sup>th</sup>, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/583,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLEN S. WOOD whose telephone number is (571)270-3450. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 730-5 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794